

# Rate of Convergence for the Modified Szász–Mirakyan Operators on Functions of Bounded Variation

Vijay Gupta

metadata, citation and similar papers at [core.ac.uk](http://core.ac.uk)

and

R. P. Pant

*Department of Mathematics, Kumaon University, D.S.B. Campus, Nainital 263 002,  
Uttar Pradesh, India*

*Submitted by H. M. Srivastava*

Received December 23, 1997

In this paper we obtain an estimate of the rate of convergence of modified Szász–Mirakyan operators on functions of bounded variation. Our result essentially improves the results due to A. Sahai and G. Prasad (1993, *Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.)* **53**, 73–80) and V. Gupta and P. N. Agrawal (1991, *Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.)* **49**, 97–103). © 1999 Academic Press

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The modified Szász–Mirakyan operator [4] is defined as

$$M_n(f, x) = n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k(nx) \int_0^{\infty} p_k(nt) f(t) dt, \quad x \in [0, \infty), \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$p_k(nx) = e^{-nx} (nx)^k / k!.$$

Gupta and Agrawal [3] estimated the rate of convergence for the operator (1.1) for functions of bounded variation. Recently, Sahai and Prasad [5]

improved and corrected the results of [3]. They have taken the function to be of growth  $e^{\alpha t}$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ . The improved estimate obtained by Sahai and Prasad [5] is not entirely correct. The aim of this paper is to improve and correct the results of Sahai and Prasad [5] and Gupta and Agrawal [3]. The main result obtained by Sahai and Prasad [5] is

**THEOREM A.** *Let  $f$  be a function of bounded variation on every finite subinterval of  $[0, \infty)$  and let  $f(t) = O(e^{\alpha t})$  for some  $\alpha > 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . If  $x \in (0, \infty)$ , then for  $n$  sufficiently large*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| M_n(f, x) - \frac{1}{2} \{f(x+) + f(x-)\} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{(x^2 + 6x + 3)x^{-2}}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n V_{x-x/\sqrt{k}}^{x+x/\sqrt{k}}(g_x) \\ & \quad + \frac{(4x^2 + 3x + 1)x^{-1/2}}{\sqrt{n}} |f(x+) - f(x-)| \\ & \quad + O(1)e^{-\alpha x} \frac{(2x + 1)x^{-2}}{n}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $V_a^b(g_x)$  is the total variation of  $g_x$  on  $[a, b]$  and

$$g_x \equiv g_x(t) = \begin{cases} f(t) - f(x+), & \text{if } x < t < \infty \\ 0, & \text{if } t = x \\ f(t) - f(x-), & \text{if } 0 \leq t < x. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

## 2. AUXILIARY RESULTS

To prove our main result, we shall need the following lemmas:

**LEMMA 2.1.** *For every  $x \in (0, \infty)$ , we have*

$$p_k(nx) \leq \frac{\phi(x)}{\sqrt{n}}, \quad \text{where} \quad \phi(x) = \frac{32x^2 + 24x + 5}{2\sqrt{x}}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\{\xi_i\}$  be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables all having the same Poisson ( $x$ ) distribution. Let  $\eta_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k$ ; then

$$P(\eta_n = k) = e^{-nx} \frac{(nx)^k}{k!} = p_k(nx).$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_2 = x, \beta_3 &= E|\xi_1 - x|^3 \leq E(\xi_1^3) + 3xE(\xi_1^2) + 3x^2E(\xi_1) + x^3 \\ &= 8x^3 + 6x^2 + x.\end{aligned}$$

Next,

$$p_k(nx) = P(k-1 < \eta_n \leq k) = P\left(\frac{k-1-nx}{\sqrt{nx}} < \frac{\eta_n - nx}{\sqrt{nx}} \leq \frac{k-nx}{\sqrt{nx}}\right).$$

By [1, pp. 104 and 110; 2], we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left|p_k(nx) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{(k-1-nx)/\sqrt{nx}}^{(k-nx)/\sqrt{nx}} e^{-t^2/2} dt\right| &< 2(0.82) \frac{8x^2 + 6x + 1}{\sqrt{nx}} \\ &< \frac{16x^2 + 12x + 2}{\sqrt{nx}}.\end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{(k-1-nx)/\sqrt{nx}}^{(k-nx)/\sqrt{nx}} e^{-t^2/2} dt < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi nx}} < \frac{1}{2\sqrt{nx}}.$$

Therefore

$$p_k(nx) \leq \frac{16x^2 + 12x + 2}{\sqrt{nx}} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{nx}} = \frac{\phi(x)}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

*Remark.* We observe that the constant 0.41 taken in [5] holds only for sufficiently large  $n$ . For all  $n$ , we should take it 0.82 as given in [1, 2].

For  $n \geq 2$ , we have  $2x/n \leq M_n((t-x)^2, x) \leq (2x+1)/n$ . If  $K_n(x, t) = n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k(nx) p_k(nt)$ , then it is easy to verify that

(i) for  $0 \leq y < x$ , we have

$$\int_0^y K_n(x, t) dt \leq \frac{2x+1}{n(x-y)^2} \quad (2.1)$$

(ii) for  $x < z < \infty$ , we have

$$\int_z^{\infty} K_n(x, t) dt \leq \frac{2x+1}{n(z-x)^2}. \quad (2.2)$$

## 3. MAIN RESULT

## THEOREM 3.1

. Let  $f$  be a function of bounded variation on every finite subinterval of  $[0, \infty)$  and let  $f(t) = O(e^{\alpha t})$  for some  $\alpha > 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . If  $x \in (0, \infty)$  and  $n \geq 4\alpha$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| M_n(f, x) - \frac{1}{2} \{f(x+) + f(x-)\} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{(x^2 + 6x + 3)}{nx^2} \sum_{k=1}^n V_{x-x/\sqrt{k}}^{x+x/\sqrt{k}}(g_x) \\ & \quad + \frac{(32x^2 + 24x + 5)}{2\sqrt{nx}} |f(x+) - f(x-)| \\ & \quad + \sqrt{\frac{2(2x+1)}{n}} \frac{e^{2\alpha x}}{x} + \frac{e^{\alpha x}(2x+1)}{nx^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where  $V_a^b(g_x)$  is the total variation of  $g_x$  on  $[a, b]$  as given in (1.2).

*Proof.* First we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |M_n(f, x) - \tfrac{1}{2}\{f(x+) + f(x-)\}| \\ & \leq |M_n(g_x, x)| + \tfrac{1}{2}|f(x+) - f(x-)| \cdot |M_n(\text{sign}(t-x), x)|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Thus, to estimate the left hand side, we need estimates for  $M_n(g_x, x)$  and  $M_n(\text{sign}(t-x), x)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} M_n(\text{sign}(t-x), x) &= \int_0^\infty \text{sign}(t-x) K_n(x, t) dt \\ &= \int_x^\infty K_n(x, t) dt - \int_0^x K_n(x, t) dt \\ &= A_n(x) - B_n(x), \quad \text{say.} \end{aligned}$$

Proceeding as in the proof of the theorem in [5], we have

$$|A_n(x) - B_n(x)| \leq \frac{\phi(x)}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

To estimate  $M_n(g_x, x)$ , we decompose  $[0, \infty)$  into three parts, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} M_n(g_x, x) &= \int_0^\infty K_n(x, t) g_x(t) dt \\ &= \left( \int_0^{x-x/\sqrt{n}} + \int_{x-x/\sqrt{n}}^{x+x/\sqrt{n}} + \int_{x+x/\sqrt{n}}^\infty \right) K_n(x, t) g_x(t) dt \\ &= \left( \int_{I_1} + \int_{I_2} + \int_{I_3} \right) K_n(x, t) g_x(t) dt = E_1 + E_2 + E_3, \quad \text{say.} \end{aligned}$$

First we estimate  $E_2$ . For  $t \in I_2$ , we have

$$|g_x(t)| = |g_x(t) - g_x(x)| \leq V_{x-x/\sqrt{n}}^{x+x/\sqrt{n}}(g_x),$$

and so

$$E_2 \leq V_{x-x/\sqrt{n}}^{x+x/\sqrt{n}}(g_x) \int_{x-x/\sqrt{n}}^{x+x/\sqrt{n}} K_n(x, t) dt = V_{x-x/\sqrt{n}}^{x+x/\sqrt{n}}(g_x) \int_{x-x/\sqrt{n}}^{x+x/\sqrt{n}} d_t \lambda_n(x, t),$$

where

$$\lambda_n(x, t) = \int_0^t K_n(x, u) du.$$

Since  $\int_a^b d_t \lambda_n(x, t) \leq 1$  for all  $[a, b] \subseteq [0, \infty)$ , we have

$$E_2 \leq V_{x-x/\sqrt{n}}^{x+x/\sqrt{n}}(g_x) \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n V_{x-x/\sqrt{k}}^{x+x/\sqrt{k}}(g_x). \quad (3.3)$$

Next, using (2.1) and proceeding as in [5], we have

$$E_1 \leq \frac{2(2x+1)}{nx^2} \sum_{k=1}^n V_{x-x/\sqrt{k}}^x(g_x). \quad (3.4)$$

Finally, we estimate  $E_3$ . Setting  $z = x + x/\sqrt{n}$ , we obtain

$$E_3 = \int_z^\infty g_x(t) K_n(x, t) dt = \int_z^\infty g_x(t) d_t(\lambda_n(x, t)).$$

We define  $Q_n(x, t)$  on  $[0, 2x]$  as

$$Q_n(x, t) = \begin{cases} 1 - \lambda_n(x, t-), & \text{if } 0 \leq t < 2x \\ 0, & \text{if } t = 2x. \end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} E_3 &= \int_z^{2x} g_x(t) d_t(Q_n(x, t)) - g_x(2x) \int_{2x}^{\infty} K_n(x, u) du \\ &\quad + \int_{2x}^{\infty} g_x(t) d_t(\lambda_n(x, t)) \\ &= E_{31} + E_{32} + E_{33}, \quad \text{say.} \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Now using (2.2) and proceeding as in [5], we have

$$|E_{31}| \leq \frac{2(2x+1)}{nx^2} \sum_{k=1}^n V_x^{x+x/\sqrt{k}}(g_x) \quad (3.6)$$

and

$$|E_{32}| \leq \frac{(2x+1)}{nx^2} \sum_{k=1}^n V_x^{x+x/\sqrt{k}}(g_x). \quad (3.7)$$

Finally, we estimate  $E_{33}$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} |E_{33}| &\equiv \left| n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k(nx) \int_{2x}^{\infty} p_k(nt) g_x(t) dt \right| \\ &\leq n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k(nx) \int_{2x}^{\infty} p_k(nt) (e^{\alpha t} + e^{\alpha x}) dt \\ &= \frac{n}{x} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k(nx) \int_{2x}^{\infty} p_k(nt) x e^{\alpha t} dt + \frac{e^{\alpha x}}{x^2} n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k(nx) \int_{2x}^{\infty} p_k(nt) x^2 dt \\ &\leq \frac{n}{x} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k(nx) \int_{2x}^{\infty} |t-x| e^{\alpha t} p_k(nt) dt \\ &\quad + \frac{e^{\alpha x}}{x^2} n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k(nx) \int_{2x}^{\infty} p_k(nt) \cdot (t-x)^2 dt \\ &\quad \quad \quad (\text{because for } t \geq 2x, \quad t-x \geq x) \\ &\leq \frac{n}{x} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k(nx) \int_0^{\infty} |t-x| e^{\alpha t} p_k(nt) dt \\ &\quad + \frac{e^{\alpha x}}{x^2} n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k(nx) \int_0^{\infty} p_k(nt) \cdot (t-x)^2 dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{x} \left( n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k(nx) \int_0^{\infty} p_k(nt) (t-x)^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \left( n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k(nx) \int_0^{\infty} p_k(nt) \cdot e^{2\alpha t} dt \right)^{1/2} + \frac{e^{\alpha x}}{x^2} M_n((t-x)^2, x) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{x} \left( M_n((t-x)^2, x) \right)^{1/2} \left( n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k(nx) \int_0^{\infty} p_k(nt) e^{2\alpha t} dt \right)^{1/2} \\
& \quad + \frac{e^{\alpha x}}{x^2} M_n((t-x)^2, x).
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we have by partial integration and by the assumption that  $n > 2\alpha$

$$\begin{aligned}
& n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k(nx) \int_0^{\infty} p_k(nt) e^{2\alpha t} dt \\
& = n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k(nx) \frac{n^k}{k!} \int_0^{\infty} t^k e^{-(n-2\alpha)t} dt \\
& = n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k(nx) \frac{n^k}{k!} \frac{k!}{(n-2\alpha)^{k+1}} = \frac{n}{n-2\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{n}{n-2\alpha} \right)^k p_k(nx) \\
& = \frac{n}{n-2\alpha} e^{-nx} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{n^2 x}{(n-2\alpha)} \right)^k \frac{1}{k!} \\
& = \frac{n}{n-2\alpha} \cdot e^{-nx} \cdot e^{nx(1+2\alpha/(n-2\alpha))} \\
& = \frac{n}{n-2\alpha} \cdot e^{2\alpha nx/(n-2\alpha)} = \frac{n}{n-2\alpha} \cdot e^{2\alpha x \cdot n/(n-2\alpha)} \\
& \leq 2e^{4\alpha x}, \quad \text{for } n \geq 4\alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
|E_{33}| & \leq \frac{1}{x} \left( \frac{2x+1}{n} \right)^{1/2} \cdot (2e^{4\alpha x})^{1/2} + \frac{e^{\alpha x}}{x^2} \frac{2x+1}{n} \\
& = \sqrt{\frac{2(2x+1)}{n}} \frac{e^{2\alpha x}}{x} + \frac{e^{\alpha x}}{x^2} \frac{2x+1}{n}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Using (3.5) to (3.8), we have, for  $n \geq 4\alpha$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
|E_3| & \leq \frac{3(2x+1)}{nx^2} \sum_{k=1}^n V_x^{x+x/\sqrt{k}}(g_x) + \sqrt{\frac{2(2x+1)}{n}} \frac{e^{2\alpha x}}{x} \\
& \quad + \frac{e^{\alpha x}(2x+1)}{nx^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Combining (3.2) with (3.3), (3.4) and (3.9), we get the required result.

*Remark.* We may remark that the estimate (3.9) in [5] seems to be incorrect. In the estimation of  $E_{33}$  in [5], the term  $\{1 + \alpha/m\}^{2k+1}$  cannot be considered constant.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The first author is thankful to U.G.C. for research support under Unassigned Grant, Group D, Minor Research Project 1996–1997. The authors are also thankful to the referee for suggesting improvements in the paper.

## REFERENCES

1. R. N. Bhattacharya and R. R. Rao, "Normal Approximation and Asymptotic Expansions," Wiley, New York, 1976.
2. Y. S. Chow and H. Teicher, "Probability Theory," Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978.
3. V. Gupta and P. N. Agrawal, An estimate of the rate of convergence for modified Szász-Mirakyan operators of functions of bounded variation, *Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.)* **49** No. 63 (1991), 97–103.
4. H. S. Kasana, G. Prasad, P. N. Agrawal, and A. Sahai, Modified Szász operators, in "Proceedings, International Conference on Mathematical Analysis and Its Applications, Kuwait," pp. 29–41, Pergamon, Oxford, 1985.
5. A. Sahai and G. Prasad, On the rate of convergence for modified Szász-Mirakyan operators on functions of bounded variation, *Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.)* **53**, No. 67 (1993), 73–80.